

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

API	PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	NAMED INVENTOR	• .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09	7/113,712	07/10/98	HELINSKI		E	EN997043
· [2		QM32/0	719		EXAMINER
	CIC J FRAN				DEXT	ER,C
PO P		DE SANDE &	PRIDDY		ART UNI	T PAPER NUMBER
•		оо DC 20036-3	1 25		3724	
					DATE MAILE	:D:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

07/19/00





Advisory Action

. . .

Application No. 09/113,712

Clark F. Dexter

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 3724

Helinski

TH	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) 🗍	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	p) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date on	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap; but	plicant is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jul 7, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):
	_ wi	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	🗴 wi	Il not be entered because:
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO	TE: The amendment raises new issues; for example, the changes to claim 6, line 8 and to claim 7, line 8 raise
		new issues that require at least further consideration.
	X Ar	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
		e rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph to line 10 of claim 1, line 2 of claim 3, and line 10 of claim 6.
	Newly separ	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
		ffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by caminer in the final rejection.
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claim	s allowed: <i>None</i>
	Claim	s objected to: None
	Claim	s rejected: 1-11
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
X	Other	It is noted that the antecedent basis rejection to claim 8, line 2 has not been addressed and would be obviated if amended in the same manner as claim 3, line 2.
		CLARK F. DEXTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3724